Original article:

Admission cardiotocography: Its role in predicting foetal outcome in high-risk obstetric patient

¹ABED G NAGURE , ²UMASHANKAR K. M $\,$, ³ DHARMAVIJAY M. N $\,$, ⁴ MAHE DARAKSHAN. M. SALEEM.

¹ Assistant professor, OBG MVJ Medical College & Research Hospital, Bangalore, India

² Assistant professor, OBG MVJ Medical College & Research Hospital, Bangalore, India

³ Associate professor, OBG M V J Medical College & Research Hospital, Bangalore, India

⁴ DNB , OBG ,Post graduate

Corresponding author: Dr. Abed Nagure

Abstract:

Background: Routine and continuous electronic monitoring of foetal heart rate (FHR) in labour has become an established obstetric practice in high-risk pregnancies in industrialised countries. The objective of this study was to evaluate the predictive value of the admission cardiotocogram (CTG) in detecting foetal hypoxia at the time of admission in labour and to correlate the results of the admission CTG with the perinatal outcome in high-risk obstetric cases.

Method: The study included high-risk pregnant women, admitted via the emergency or outpatient department with a period of gestation \geq 36 weeks, in first stage of labour with foetus in the cephalic presentation. All women were subjected to an admission CTG, which included a 20 minute recording of FHR and uterine contractions.

Results: One hundred and sixty patients were recruited. The majority of women were primigravida in the 21-30 years age group. About 42% patients were postdated pregnancy followed by pregnancy-induced hypertension (PIH) (15.6%) and premature rupture of membranes (PROM) (11.3%) as the major risk factors. The admission CTG were 'reactive' in 77%, 'equivocal' in 14.4% and 'ominous' in 8.7% women.

Conclusion: The admission CTG appears to be a simple non-invasive test that can serve as a screening tool in 'triaging' foetuses of high-risk obstetric patients in non-industrialised countries with a heavy workload and limited resources.

Keywords: Cardiotocography, foetal hypoxia, perinatal outcome.

INTRODUCTION

Labor poses physiological stress to all fetuses during the transition from intrauterine to extrauterine environment. The fetal distress in labor is a common occurrence and a cause of concern for both the patient and her obstetrician. Therefore every fetus deserves intrapartum fetal monitoring (1). Routine electronic monitoring of the fetal heart rate in labor is becoming an established obstetric practice in the western world. In labor wards with few monitors, selection of patients for continuous monitoring or intermittent auscultation is necessary. Risk assessment based on antepartum factor is often insufficient for patient selection as intrapartum fetal morbidity and mortality are not uncommon in low risk patients. In such situations a short recording of fetal heart rate and uterine contraction pattern during labor by electronic fetal monitoring device for a period of 20-30 minutes on admission to labor room is regarded as "Admission Test". It was found that admission test could be used as screening procedure to detect preexisting fetal hypoxia and plan early intervention to prevent adverse perinatal outcome.

The CTG output is influenced by all hypoxic, metabolic or qualitative maternal blood alterations, showing fetal bradycardia and tachycardia suggestive hypoxic injury alterations: lack of variability, flat/smooth fetal heart rate (FHR) baseline, accelerations and decelerations. Currently there is no data of reduced perinatal mortality and morbidity to support continuous monitoring. Although most studies show no clear benefits of continuous CTG monitoring for the newborns, it may be a useful evaluation method when assessing the fetus during labor³

Surveillance of the foetus during labour is important to ensure the delivery of a healthy baby in good condition with the minimum of intervention.⁴ Such an approach is introduced to prevent neurological injury, including cerebral palsy.⁵ For this purpose, electronic foetal monitoring (EFM) has widely been adopted.⁶ Although with intermittent auscultation the baseline foetal heart rate (FHR) can be measured, other features of the foetal heart such baseline variability, accelerations and as decelerations are difficult to quantify⁷ Therefore, of the use antepartum and intrapartum cardiotocography (CTG) has increased over the last 15 years. As a consequence some authors attribute a considerable decrease in the overall perinatal mortality to the use of CTG and today CTG is a first line investigation for ante and intrapartum foetal assessment.8

Routine electronic monitoring of FHR in labour has become an established obstetric practice in industrialised countries.⁹ Economic constraints in many developing parts of the world limit routine and continuous monitoring. In busy labor wards with few monitors, selection of the patients for continuous monitoring is necessary.¹⁰

Ingemarsson et al¹¹ described an alternative method of monitoring FHR during labour to pick the women apparently at risk whose foetuses were compromised on admission or were likely to become compromised in labour – Admission test (AT).⁷

The admission CTG is a short, usually 20 minute, recording of the FHR immediately after admission to the labour ward.¹² The main justification for admission CTG is that the uterine contractions of labour put stress on the placental circulation; an abnormal tracing indicates a deficiency and hence identifies foetal compromise at an early enough stage to allow intervention.¹³ In industrialised countries with good antenatal care. such foetuses may have been picked up by serial ultrasound or doppler scans. British guidelines published in 2001.14 do not recommend admission CTG in low-risk women, while Swedish guidelines published the same year¹⁵ recommend the test in all women. The objective of this study was to evaluate the predictive value of the admission CTG in detecting foetal hypoxia at the time of admission in labour and to correlate the results of the admission CTG with the perinatal outcome in high-risk obstetric cases.

METHODS:

This study was conducted during the period august 2011 to july 2012, it was a prospective study at the labor and maternity ward, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology M V J Medical College & Research Hospital, Hoskote Bangalore India. Written informed consent was obtained from the women who participated in the study.

Women were eligible to join the study if they were booked for hospital delivery, had a gestation of ≥ 36 weeks, were in the first stage of labour (spontaneous onset) with the foetus in a cephalic presentation and the patient had been classified as high risk during the antenatal period or at that visit. The high-risk obstetric cases considered for inclusion were: women with bad obstetric history (BOH), pregnancy with medical disorder (e.g. diabetes, hypertension, renal disease etc), previous history of still birth, pregnancy induced hypertension (PIH)/pre-eclampsia, postdated pregnancy, premature rupture of membranes (PROM), oligo/polyhydramnios, intrauterine growth restriction (IUGR), Rh-ve pregnancy and women with decreased foetal movements. Women who were excluded from the study were those who had a period of gestation <36 weeks, ultrasonography (USG) confirmed lethal congenital anomaly of the foetus, acute hypoxic states (such as abruption of placenta, cord prolapse, uterine scar rupture etc.), multiple pregnancies, abnormal lie and presentation needing immediate Caesarean section, and patients who were identified for elective LSCS.

Admission test procedure and monitoring

On admission, the women's details and history including age, parity, antenatal care, menstrual, obstetric and medical history were documented. General physical examination was done. Per abdominal and bimanual examination were performed to determine the stage of labour, following which patients were subjected to Admission Test.. A tracing was taken for 20 minutes with the patient in a left lateral position in a separate room beside the first stage labour room. The FHR traces obtained were categorised as reactive, equivocal or ominous as according to the classification proposed by NICE (National Institute of Clinical Excellence – Clinical guideline September 2007).¹⁶

Following the Admission Test, patients with reactive trace were monitored intermittently by auscultation for one minute every 30 minute in the first stage of labour and every five minutes in the second stage of labour post contraction. Cases with equivocal trace were put on continuous CTG monitoring. In those with ominous tracings, appearance of late, significant variable or prolonged decelerations, delivery was hastened by operative or instrumental intervention depending upon stage of labour. After delivery, the colour of liqor, and Apgar score was determined. Newborns who were distressed and whose Apgar score was <7 at five minutes underwent cord blood pH estimation.¹⁷

RCOG criteria for interpretation of the admission test :

Criteria for normal /reassuring trace :

At least 2 accelerations (>15 bpm for >15 sec) in 20 minutes

Base line heart rate 110-160 bpm Baseline variability 5-25 bpm Absence of decelerations Moderate tachycardia (161-180 bpm)/ bradycardia (100-109bpm) but with preservation of baseline variability and accelerations.

Criteria of suspicious / equivocal trace*: Reduced baseline variability (<5 bpm) for > 40

minutes but

< 90 minutes although baseline heart rate normal (110-160 bpm) Variable decelerations (depth < 60 bpm and duration <60 seconds) Early decelerations Single prolonged deceleration < 3 minutes

Criteria of abnormal / pathological trace*:

Silent base line variability (< 5 bpm) pattern > 90 minutes

Base line heart rate > 180 bpm or < 100 bpm. Late decelerations

Atypical / significant variable decelerations (depth > 60 bpm and duration >60 sec)

Prolonged bradycardia (drop of the foetal heart rate < 100 bpm for >

3 minutes or < 80 bpm for > 2minutes) Sinusoidal pattern > 10 minutes

* Absence of accelerations in an otherwise normal CTG tracing is of uncertain significance, bpm= beats per minute.

Foetal and neonatal outcome

Foetus/neonate was considered to be in distress if one of the following were present.

 Ominous FHR changes led to Caesarean section (LSCS) or forceps/ventouse delivery.

- 2. Presence of moderate thick meconium stained liqor (MSL).
- 3. Apgar score at 5 minutes < 7.
- 4. Umbilical cord arterial blood pH < 7.2.
- 5. Admission into neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) for birth asphyxia.
- 6. Neonatal seizures within first 24 hrs to 48 hrs.
- 7. Incidence of intrapartum/neonatal mortality.

Statistical analysis

Data obtained from the study groups was analysed and statistically verified by nonparametric Chi-square test (x^2 test) with the use of computer software SPSS version 10. Statistic significance was calculated between groups with reactive and ominous; and reactive and equivocal groups where ever possible. A p value of <0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance.

RESULTS

One hundred and sixty women were recruited. Most women were primigravida in the 21-30 years age group

Age (years)	Reactive N (%)	Equivocal N (%)	Ominous N (%)	Total (n=160)
17-20	15 (71.4)	4 (19.1)	2 (9.5)	21 (13.1)
21-25	56 (82.4)	6 (8.8)	6 (8.8)	68 (42.5)
26-30	38 (76.0)	9 (18.0)	3 (6.0)	50 (31.3)
31-35	8 (66.7)	2 (16.7)	2 (16.7)	12 (7.5)
36-40	6 (66.7)	2 (22.2)	1 (11.1)	9 (5.6)
Parity				
Primi	76 (76.7)	15 (15.2)	8 (8.1)	99 (61.9)
Multi	47 (77.1)	8 (13.1)	6 (9.8)	61 (38.1)
Gestational Age				
37-40 weeks	70 (75.3)	16 (17.2)	7 (7.5)	93 (58.2)
>40 weeks	53 (79.2)	7 (10.4)	7 (10.4)	67 (41.8)

Table 1: Demographic and clinical characteristics. Data is expressed as number (N) and %.

About 42% patients were postdated pregnancy followed by PIH (15.6%) and PROM (11.3%). A few patients had multiple risk factors (Table 2).

Table 2

Risk factors in the study population

		- 1
Risk factors	Number	%
Postdated	67	41.8
PIH	25	15.6
PIH with IUGR	8	5.0
IUGR	10	6.3
PROM	18	11.3
ВОН	10	6.3
Oligohydramanios	8	5.0
Diabetes	5	3.1
Rh-ve pregnancy	4	2.5
Others	5	3.1

Seventy-seven per cent of admission CTG was 'reactive' of which only 11% were associated with foetal distress. Of the 23 women (14.4%) who had an equivocal trace, nine (39%) babies had foetal distress, whereas 86% of babies born to women with ominous

test had foetal distress. It is evident from Tables 3 and 4 that the incidence of foetal distress significantly increased with worsening of admission CTG (p<0.001).

Table 3:

Admission test result and incidence of foetal distress

Results	AT result	t	Foetal distress			
	Number	%	Number	%		
Reactive	123	76.9	14	11.3		
Equivocal	23	14.4	9	39.1		
Ominous	14	8.7	12	85.7		

*P value <0.001 (statistical significance was calculated between reactive, equivocal and ominous groups)

Risk factors	Reactive		Equivocal		Ominous		
	Total	FD N (%)	Total	FD N (%)	Total	FD N (%)	
Postdated	52	6(11.5)	11	4(36.4)	4	3(75.0)	
РІН	20	2(10.0)	3	1(33.3)	2	2(100)	
PIH with IUGR	5	1(20.0)	1	1(100)	2	2(100)	
IUGR	7	1(14.3)	2	1(50)	1	1(100)	
PROM	14	1(7.1)	3	1(33.3)	1	-	
ВОН	8	1(12.5)	1	1(100)	1	1(100)	
Oligohydramanio	6	1(16.7)	1	-	1	1(100)	
Diabetes	4	-	-	-	1	1(100)	
Rh-ve pregnancy	3	1(33.3)	1	-	-	-	
Others	4	-	-	-	1	1(100)	

Table 4

Incidence of foetal distress (FD) in specific risk factor groups. Data are expressed as number (N) and %.

About 72% patients with an ominous test had moderate-thick MSL, compared to 39% and 9% in the equivocal and reactive AT group respectively (p<0.001). Fifty seven per cent of babies born to patients with ominous AT had NICU admissions compared to 26% and 6.5% of those babies born to patients with equivocal and reactive AT respectively (p<0.001). As seen from <u>Table 5</u> the incidence of birth asphyxia was greater in the nonreactive test group compared to the reactive group when the babies were assessed by Apgar score <7 at 5 minute and/ cord blood PH<7.2. There were no intrapartum/neonatal deaths among babies born to mothers with reactive AT, whereas there were two neonatal deaths due to birth asphyxia in babies born to mothers with equivocal and ominous AT (one in each group).

Table 5:

Correlation of foetal/neona	atal outco	omes with A we ($n=123$)	AT Equ	ivocal $(n=23)$	Omi	nous (<i>n</i> =14)	
	N	%	n	%	n	%	
Mod-thick MSL	11	8.9	9	39.1	10	71.4	
Apgar score at 5 min <7	8	6.5	6	26.1	9	64.3	
NICU admission	8	6.5	6	26.1	8	57.1	
Cord blood Ph<7.2	5	4.1	4	17.4	8	57.1	
Neonatal death	0	-	1	4.3	1	7.1	
*P value <0.001 (statistical	significa	ince was ca	lculate	d between rea	ctive, o	equivocal and	on
groups)							

Mode of delivery with the results of the Admission test and occurrence of foetal distress (F				
Vlode of delivery	Reactive (<i>n</i> =123)	Equivocal (n=23)	Ominous (n=14)	
Spontaneous Vaginal Delivery	65 (52.8%)	12(52.2%)	2 (14.3%)	
With FD	4 (6.2%)	4 (33.3%)	-	
Without FD	61 (93.8%)	8 (67.7%)	2 (100%)	
Forceps/Ventouse	14 (11.4%)	1 (4.3%)	1 (7.1%)	
With FD	4 (28.6%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	
Without FD	10 (71.4%)	-	-	
LSCS	44 (35.8%)	10 (43.5%)	11(78.6%)	
With FD	6 (13.6%)	4 (40%)	11 (100%)	
Without FD	38 (86.4%)	6 (60%)	-	

Incidences of vaginal delivery were more common when the test was reactive in compared to operative delivery. On the other hand operative deliveries were more common when the AT was non-reactive compared to the reactive group (p<0.001). An important observation was that those who underwent operative/instrumental delivery in the reactive group, only in 17% (10/58) was the indication foetal distress, among the remaining 83% the most common reason for operative/instrumental delivery was nonprogress of labour. In the non-reactive group operative/instrumental delivery was indicated for foetal distress among 74% (17/23) patients.

DISCUSSION

Electronic FHR monitoring at the time of admission in labour has been employed by some centres to identify foetuses that are at an increased risk of hypoxia.⁵ EFM can detect hypoxia early and avoid unnecessary delay in intervention. It is a non-invasive recordable method of foetal monitoring and is a highly logical solution to the undeniable human factors/human lapses of manual foetal monitoring of labour. Uterine contractions serve as a functional stress to the foetus; a short tracing of FHR on admission to the labor ward may thus detect foetal intrauterine hypoxia already present on admission and also help identify those who are risk of developing hypoxia during labour.¹¹ The admission CTG therefore has two potential roles. It can be used as a screening test in early labour to detect compromised foetuses on admission and to select the women in need of continuous EFM during labour.¹⁸

Use of EFM is controversial. For example Impey et al¹⁹ believe that neonatal outcome is not significantly improved by the use of admission CTG as compared to intermittent FHR auscultation during labour. Thacker et al ²⁰ also feel that the use of EFM is of limited effectiveness and carries an increased risk of interventions. According to them increased information at admission will not necessarily lead to better clinical outcomes. This may be true in developed countries when the majority of the population is provided with comprehensive antenatal care, and receives personal attention during labour.

Although a Cochrane review recommends that continuous EFM be limited to high-risk pregnancies,²¹ this may not be possible in developing countries where antenatal care is inadequate with a large number of high-risk pregnancies being delivered in crowded settings and inadequate health care provider to patient ratios.

In the present study, 11.3% (14/123) babies from mothers in the reactive AT group, 39.1% (9/23) of babies from the equivocal group, and 85.7% (12/14) babies from the ominous group showed evidence of foetal distress. Sandhu et al²² also reported similar rates (i.e. 15% in reactive, 55% in equivocal and 73% in ominous test group) of foetal distress in high-risk obstetric patients in their study. Ingemarsson et al²¹ observed development of foetal distress in 1.3% of the reactive group, 10% of the equivocal group and in 40% of the ominous group babies. Libiran et al²³ reported 6.5% risk of foetal asphyxia in the reactive group, and 50% risk in the ominous group's babies when measured by Apgar score and/umbilical cord blood pH.

In the present study we also observed women with reactive AT who had low risk (4.1%) of developing intrapartum foetal hypoxia and significantly high risk in the ominous group (57%) when assessed by Apgar score and/cord blood pH <7.2. The results are supported by those reported by Igemarsson et al .¹¹ **CONCLUSION**

The admission CTG is a simple non-invasive test that can serve as a screening tool in high-risk obstetric patients to detect foetal distress already present or likely to develop and prevent unnecessary delay in intervention. It has a role in obstetric wards of nonindustrialised countries with a heavy workload with a large number of high-risk cases and limited resources to help in 'triaging' fetuses.

REFERENCES

1. Veconica Ilene yuel, VaneetKaur. J Obs and Gynaec today, 2005; VolX, No.8

2 Ancăr V, Ionescu C. Obstetrică. Editura Medicală Națională, București, 2000, p. 39-44.

3 Robinson B. A review of NICHD standardized nomenclature for cardiotocography: the importance of speaking a common language

4 Whittle MJ, Martin WL. Turnbull's obstetrics. London: Churchill Livingstone; 2001. monitoring in labor. In: Chamberlain G, steer P, editors.

5 . Chandraharan E, Sabaratnam A. Electronic foetal heart rate monitoring in current and future practice. J Obstet Gynecol India. 2008;58(2):121–130.

6. Thackar SB, Struup DF. Continuous electronic heart rate monitoring for foetal assessment during labor. Cochran Database Syst Rev. 2001;(2) CD000063.

7 . Gibb D, Arulkumaran S. Oxford: Boston: Butterworth-Heinemann; 1997. The admission test: Clinical scenarios Foetal monitoring in practice. pp. 67–72.

8 . Lekis S, Loghis C, Parayoto N. Use of antepartum and intrapartum cardiography. Clin Exp Obstet Gynaecol. 1997;24:79–81.

9. Edington T, Sibanda J, Beard RW. Influence on clinical practice of routine intrapartum foetal monitoring. BMJ. 1975;3:341.

10 . Schifrin BS, Foye G, Amato J, Kates R, MacKenna J. Routine foetal monitoring in the antepartum period. Obstet Gynecol. 1979 Jul;54(1):21–5.

11. Ingemarsson I, Arulkumaran S, Ingemarsson E, Tambyraja RL, Ratnam SS. Admission test: a screening test for foetal distress in labor. Obstet Gynecol. 1986 Dec;68(6):800–6. 12 . Ingemarsson I. In: Intrapartum foetal surveillance. Spencer JAD, Ward RHT, editors. London: Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists; 1993. Electronic foetal monitoring as a screening test. pp. 45–52.

13. Prentice A, Lind T. Foetal heart rate monitoring in labour—too frequent intervention, too little benefit? Lancet.
1997;ii:1375–7. 14. Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists. The use of electronic foetal monitoring.
London: RCOG Press; 2001.

15. Nordström L, Waldenström U. Stockholm: Socialstyrelsen; 2001. Handläggning av normal födsel (Management of normal labour)

16 . National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence, NICE Clinical Guideline 55 - Intrapartum Care. Sep, 2007. pp. 44–45.

17. Bornstein MS, Nunnle L. Cord blood gases to determine umbilical artery acid base analysis. Available from. http://www.obgyn.net/hysterectomy-alternatives/hysterectomy-alternatives.asp? 2009 Feb 16; Accessed.

18. Blix E, Reinar L M, Klovning A, Oian P. Prognostic value of the labor admission test and its effectiveness compared with auscultation only: A systematic review. Br J Obstet Gynaecol. 2005;112:1595–1604. 19. Impey L, Reynolds M, MacQuillan K, Gates S, Murphy S, Shell O. Admission cardiotocography : A randomised controlled trial. Lancet. 2003;361:465–70.

20. Thacker SB, Stroup DF. Revisiting the use of the electronic foetal monitor. Lancet. 2003;361:445–6. 21. Thacker SB, Stroup D, Chang M. In: The Cochrane Library. (4) Oxford: Update Software; Continuous electronic heart rate monitoring for foetal assessment during labour (Cochrane Review). issue.

22. Sandhu GS, Raju R et al. Admission Cardiotocography Screening of High Risk Obstetric Patients. Medical Journal Armed Forces India. 2008;64(1):43–5.

23. Libiran MJ, Solis MS, Santos RR, Baga EB. Admission test as predictor of intrauterine foetal asphyxia. Philipp J Obstet Gyneco. 1999

Date of submission: 02 September 2013	Date of Provisional acceptance: 28 September 2013
Date of Final acceptance: 27 October 2013	Date of Publication: 04 December 2013
Source of support: Nil; Conflict of Interest: Nil	